

State Water Resources Control Board

Proposed Definition of Microplastics in Drinking Water

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROPOSED DEFINITION OF ‘MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER’*	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
CURRENT DEFINITIONS OF MICROPLASTICS AND RELATED ITEMS IN REGULATORY AGENCIES	7
<i>California Natural Resources Agency: Ocean Protection Council</i>	8
<i>California Environmental Protection Agency: State Water Resources; Control Board Division of Water Quality</i>	8
<i>California Environmental Protection Agency: Department of Toxic Substances Control</i>	8
<i>United States Environmental Protection Agency</i>	9
<i>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</i>	9
<i>European Marine Strategy Framework Directive</i>	9
<i>International Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection</i>	9
<i>European Chemicals Agency</i>	9
RATIONALE FOR DEFINING CRITERIA	13
<i>Defining Criteria: Substance</i>	13
Exclusions	18
<i>Defining Criteria: State</i>	18
<i>Defining Criteria: Dimensions</i>	19
<i>Non-defining Criteria: Morphology and Color</i>	21
<i>Non-criteria: Solubility</i>	22
PLASTIC-ASSOCIATED CHEMICALS REGULATED IN DRINKING WATER IN CALIFORNIA	23
REFERENCES	25

Contact Information:

Scott Coffin, Ph.D.
 Research Scientist III
 Regulatory Development Unit, Division of Drinking Water
 State Water Resources Control Board
Scott.Coffin@waterboards.ca.gov

Proposed Definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water'*

'Microplastics in Drinking Water' are defined as solid¹ polymeric materials² to which chemical additives or other substances may have been added, which are particles² which have at least two dimensions that are greater than 1 and less than 5,000 micrometers (μm). Polymers that are derived in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis) are excluded.

*Evidence concerning the toxicity and exposure of humans to microplastics is nascent and rapidly evolving, and the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' is subject to change in response to new information. The definition may also change in response to advances in analytical techniques and/or the standardization of analytical methods.

¹'Solid' means a substance or mixture which does not meet the definitions of liquid or gas.

'Liquid' means a substance or mixture which (i) at 50 degrees Celsius ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) has a vapor pressure less than or equal to 300 kPa; (ii) is not completely gaseous at 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa; and (iii) which has a melting point or initial melting point greater than 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa.

'Gas' means a substance which (i) at 50 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ has a vapor pressure greater than 300 kPa (absolute); or (ii) is completely gaseous at 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa.

²'Polymeric material' means either (i) a particle of any composition with a continuous polymer surface coating of any thickness, or (ii) a particle of any composition with a synthetic polymer content of greater than or equal to 1% by mass.

'Particle' means a minute piece of matter with defined physical boundaries; a defined physical boundary is an interface.

'Polymer' means a substance consisting of molecules characterized by the sequence of one or more types of monomer units. Such molecules must be distributed over a range of molecular weights wherein differences in the molecular weight are primarily attributable to differences in the number of monomer units. A polymer comprises the following: (a) a simple weight majority of molecules containing at least three monomer units which are covalently bound to at least one other monomer unit or other reactant; (b) less than a simple weight majority of molecules of the same molecular weight.

'Monomer unit' means the reacted form of a monomer substance in a polymer.

'Monomer' means a substance which is capable of forming covalent bonds with a sequence of additional like or unlike molecules under the conditions of the relevant polymer-forming reaction used for the particular process.

Executive Summary

Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 116376 requires the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) to adopt a definition of microplastics in drinking water on or before July 1, 2020. The adopted definition will be used in successive regulatory efforts concerning microplastics in drinking water as required by HSC 116376. Although the State Water Board will be the first regulatory agency in the world to specifically define 'Microplastics in Drinking Water', other governmental agencies have defined 'microplastics' in other contexts, including the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), which has recently proposed a definition related to intentional uses of 'microplastics' (European Chemicals Agency 2019).

Evidence concerning the hazards and exposure of humans to 'microplastics' is nascent and rapidly evolving, and currently no standardized methods for the detection of 'microplastics' exist. Accordingly, the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' is subject to change in response to new information. With respect to public health, hazards of microplastics in humans is poorly understood (World Health Organization 2019). Three primary routes of exposure of humans to microplastics are known – air, food and water (Zhang et al. 2020). However, the relative magnitude of exposure from these and other sources are not fully understood and may vary significantly between individuals and groups (Zhang et al. 2020). Few studies have measured microplastics in drinking water, and available information indicates groundwater wells are likely to contain very low (if any) levels of microplastics (Mintenig et al. 2019), however surface water sources are known to contain microplastics at high detection frequencies, and at a range of levels (Eerkes-Medrano, Leslie, and Quinn 2019). Additionally, test methods are in early stages of development.

The following criteria must all be satisfied to define a particle as 'Microplastics in Drinking Water': *substance, state, and dimensions*. Additional characteristics should be recorded in the characterization of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water', including morphology and color, but are not critical to the definition. The proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' is based on the definition of 'microplastics' proposed by ECHA (2019), however with a few notable differences in *dimensions*, and *substance*.

The *substance* criterion is based on the substance criterion in the proposed definition of 'microplastics' by ECHA (2019) with one exception: 'biodegradable polymers' are specifically excluded by ECHA, whereas no such exclusion is included here. The proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' does not exclude biodegradable polymers due to (i) the lack of adopted standards within the State Water Board to determine biodegradability and (ii) uncertainties regarding the human health effects of biodegradable polymers. Currently, the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' excludes "polymers that are derived in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis)." Examples of such natural polymers include cellulose, natural rubber, DNA, proteins, wool, and silk. *Substance* criteria for a

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

microplastic particle is defined principally as being 'polymeric material'³, and includes synthetic polymer composites, co-polymers, modified natural polymers (i.e. synthetic polymer-encapsulated natural polymers or natural polymers with synthetic polymer content greater than or equal to 1% by mass). Additionally, particles comprised of <99% additives are included⁴.

The *state* criterion considers the practicality of measuring particles⁵ that are 'solid' at room temperature (20 °C) and standard pressure (101.3 kPa). The Globally Harmonized System for Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) considers melting temperature (T_m) a defining criterion for solids and liquids. Some polymers (e.g. amorphous polymers) lack a specific T_m or may have a T_m above 20 °C but have a glass transition temperature (T_G) below 20 °C and would therefore behave in many regards as a "solid" but may be classified as "semi-solid". For these reasons, 'solid' is defined as a substance or mixture which does not meet the definitions of liquid⁶ or gas⁷ and would therefore include such 'semi-solid' polymers. This criterion is identical to the *state* criterion in the definition of 'microplastics' proposed by ECHA (2019).

The *dimensions*⁸ criterion in the proposed 'definition of microplastics in drinking water' is based on considerations of health hazards, other existing regulations, and current and anticipated analytical technical feasibilities. Current toxicological knowledge suggests that smaller particles are more hazardous. However, below the lower size limit of 1 μm , particles may not be characterized directly using light-based microscopy, thus requiring fundamentally different techniques and instrumentation. The upper size limit of 5 mm corresponds with the lower size limit for the requirement of particle filtration by "full capture systems" in storm drains as required by the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California, and thus representing a *de facto* upper dimensions limited regulatory definition for "trash" by the State Water Board. Further, the upper size limit matches the upper size limit in the 'microplastic' definition proposed by ECHA, with the

³'Polymeric material' means either (i) a particle of any composition with a continuous polymer surface coating of any thickness, or (ii) a particle of any composition with a synthetic polymer content of greater than or equal to 1% by mass.

⁴ According to the definition, "...to which additives or other substances may have been added..."

⁵ Particle is defined as a minute piece of matter with defined physical boundaries; a defined physical boundary is an interface (ECHA 2019).

⁶ 'Liquid' means a substance or mixture which (i) at 50 degrees Celsius (°C) has a vapor pressure less than or equal to 300 kPa; (ii) is not completely gaseous at 20 °C and at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa; and (iii) which has a melting point or initial melting point greater than 20 °C at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa.

⁷ 'Gas' means a substance which (i) at 50 °C has a vapor pressure greater than 300 kPa (absolute); or (ii) is completely gaseous at 20 °C at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa.

⁸ "...have at least two dimensions greater than 1 and less than 5,000 micrometers (μm)..."

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

exception that ECHA includes an additional size criteria for “fibres”. The requirement that two dimensions meet threshold criteria would exclude fibers and films that may have dimensions longer than 5 mm.

A criterion for solubility is not included. This omission is congruous with the ECHA definition of ‘microplastics’ (2019), despite the inclusion in previous definitions and other recommendations (Hartmann et al. 2019; COM 2017). The omission of solubility criteria in the proposed definition of ‘Microplastics in Drinking Water’ is intentional and acknowledges that limited toxicological information is available for soluble polymers, and that such polymers may be found in ‘solid’ form in water through agglomeration with other particles and other mechanisms (Arp and Knutsen 2019).

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

Background

The State Water Board is responsible for the administration of provisions related to drinking water to protect public health. The California Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) authorizes the State Water Board to conduct research, studies, and demonstration programs to ensure provision of a dependable, safe supply of drinking water, which may include improving methods to identify and measure the existence of contaminants in drinking water and the source of the contaminants (California Code of Regulations [CCR] 1996). The SDWA also grants the State Water Board the authority to implement regulations that may include monitoring of contaminants and requirements for notifying the public of the quality of the water delivered to customers (CCR 1996).

On September 28, 2018, Senate Bill No. 1422 was filed with the Secretary of State, adding section 116376 to California's Health and Safety Code (HSC), and requiring the State Water Board to adopt a definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' on or before July 1, 2020. HSC section 116376 also requires the State Water Board on or before July 1, 2021, to accomplish the following:

- (1) adopt a standard methodology to be used in the testing of drinking water for microplastics;
- (2) adopt requirements for four (4) years of testing and reporting of microplastics in drinking water, including public disclosure of those results;
- (3) consider issuing a notification level or other guidance to aid consumer interpretation of results; and
- (4) accredit qualified California laboratories to analyze microplastics.

HSC section 116376 allows the State Water Board to implement these requirements through adoption of a Policy Handbook.

On January 31, 2020, the State Water Board submitted the proposed definition of microplastics in drinking water to the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP), who then facilitated a peer review of the scientific basis of the definition through an external panel of experts. Following the formal adoption of the definition by the State Water Board on or before July 1, 2020, the proposed definition may be re-evaluated in response to new information and may be further reviewed by additional expert panels.

To date, there is no universally agreed-upon definition for "microplastics" (GESAMP 2019). Few studies are available regarding human exposure and health hazards of plastic particles, and significant data gaps remain (World Health Organization 2019). Plastic particles are a diverse contaminant suite and may be differentiated by a variety of criteria such as substance, state at a given temperature and pressure (e.g., solid at room temperature and standard pressure), dimensions, shape and structure (morphology), and color (Rochman et al. 2019). The influence of these parameters in the environmental fate, transport, and human health impacts of microplastics are not fully understood. To prioritize the protection of public health in light of the significant

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

scientific uncertainties, the 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' should be defined broadly, and with as few exclusions as possible, to ensure that policies, regulations, and standardized methodologies based on the definition capture a wide diversity of plastic particle types. Furthermore, while technological limitations in the measurement of plastic particles may be informative to a regulatory definition, it should be observed that such limitations are likely transient and serve only as a rough guide for prospective technical and economic feasibility of sampling and monitoring.

Current Definitions of Microplastics and Related Items in Regulatory Agencies

The term "microplastics" has been defined by several national and international regulatory agencies and scientific bodies in varying contexts. Some agencies use the term "microplastics" in reports, yet do not include a definition. Additionally, some agencies define related items, such as trash, marine debris, microfibers, etc. Most agencies' definitions of "microplastics" include criteria for *dimensions*, however few include criteria for *substance* or *state*.

Staff have reviewed the work in this regard of other state and federal agencies as well as other organizations and agencies. Highlight of the work of the following organizations is provided below:

1. California Natural Resources Agency: Ocean Protection Council
2. California Environmental Protection Agency: State Water Resources; Control Board Division of Water Quality
3. California Environmental Protection Agency: Department of Toxic Substances Control
4. United States Environmental Protection Agency
5. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
6. European Marine Strategy Framework Directive
7. International Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection
8. European Chemicals Agency

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

California Natural Resources Agency: Ocean Protection Council

The Ocean Protection Council (OPC), in collaboration with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and Sea Grant California, define microplastics as “materials smaller than 5 mm” in a 2018 report on the California Ocean Litter Prevention Strategy (OPC and NOAA 2018). The OPC is mandated by Public Resources Code 35635 to develop and implement a Statewide Microplastics Strategy (California Code of Regulations 2018b); however, no further criteria (e.g. substance, state, solubility, lower dimensions limit, etc.) for the definition of microplastics are provided in the statute or in additional OPC reports (*Holly Wyer, personal communication, October 31, 2019*).

California Environmental Protection Agency: State Water Resources; Control Board Division of Water Quality

“Microplastics and microfibers” are identified as an issue that may be addressed in coming years in the Final Staff Report of the State Water Board’s 2019 Review of the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California (Ocean Plan), which includes a non-regulatory description of microplastics as, “...a variety of both types and forms of plastic” (Dolan et al. 2019). The State Water Board-adopted 2019 Review of the Ocean Plan does not include “microplastics” as a priority issue (State Water Board 2019).

In 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted an Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California to Control Trash (“The Trash Provisions”), and defines water quality objectives for trash, which is defined as

all improperly discarded solid material from any production, manufacturing, or processing operation, including, but not limited to, products, product packaging, or containers constructed of plastic, steel, aluminum, glass, paper, or other synthetic or natural materials. (State Water Board 2016b)

Based on the understanding that small particles are difficult to remove from the environment, the State Water Board’s definition of trash specifically does not include criteria for dimensions (State Water Board 2016b). However, included in the Trash Provisions is the requirement to implement a “full capture system” that, “...traps all particles that are 5 mm or greater” (State Water Board 2016a), thus effectively leaving a regulatory gap for trash that falls below this size limit.

California Environmental Protection Agency: Department of Toxic Substances Control

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) does not specifically describe “microplastics” or a related term; however, DTSC observes particle sizes and fiber sizes as hazard traits:

(a) The particle dimensions or fiber dimension hazard trait is defined as the existence of a chemical substance in the form of small particles or fibers or the

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

propensity to form into such small-sized particles or fibers with use or environmental release.

(b) Evidence for the particle dimensions or fiber dimension hazard trait includes, but is not limited to: measures of particle dimensions less than or equal to 10 micrometers in mass median aerodynamic diameter for inhalation exposure, or less than 10 micrometers in any dimension for dermal or ingestion exposure, or fibers with a 3:1 aspect ratio and a width less than or equal to 3 micrometers. (22 CCR § 69405.7. *Particle Size or Fiber Dimension* 2011, 7)

United States Environmental Protection Agency

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) defines microplastics broadly as “plastic particles <5 mm in dimensions in any one dimension” (Murphy 2017).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) defines microplastics as “plastic particles smaller than 5mm” (Courtney Arthur, Baker, and Bamford 2008). This maximum size was chosen based on possible ecological effects other than physical blockage of gastrointestinal tracts (Courtney Arthur, Baker, and Bamford 2008).

European Marine Strategy Framework Directive

A report published in 2013 by the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) Working Group on Good Environmental Status defines plastic litter into four dimensions classes based on biological relevance and analytical limitations: macroplastics (>25 mm), mesoplastics (5 to 25 mm), large microplastics (1 to 5 mm), and small microplastics (20 µm to 1 mm) (Institute for Environment and Sustainability (Joint Research Centre) , MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter 2013). The MSFD rationalizes separating microplastics into two subfractions (small and large) due to the relative ease of separating and quantifying visually recognizable 1-5 mm particles compared to the more technically challenging aspects of particles between 20 µm and 1 mm (Institute for Environment and Sustainability (Joint Research Centre) , MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter 2013).

International Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection

Microplastics are defined by the International Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP) as “plastic particles < 5 mm in diameter, which include particles in the nano-dimensions range (1 nm)” (GESAMP 2019). No apparent *state* or *substance* criteria are included.

European Chemicals Agency

In 2017, the European Commission requested the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), an agency which manages the technical and administrative aspects of the implementation of Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), to develop a restriction proposal for the intentional uses of microplastics in

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

consumer products⁹, which ECHA then defined as “*synthetic water-insoluble polymers of 5mm or less in any dimension*” (COM 2017). In March 2018 ECHA adopted an updated working definition for ‘microplastics’: “*any polymer or polymer-containing, solid or semi-solid particle having a size of 5mm or less in at least one external dimension*” (ECHA 2018). In all versions of ECHA’s definitions of ‘microplastics’, ‘polymer’ is defined according to the REACH definition for polymers (REACH 2006).

After requesting and reviewing stakeholder input on the March 2018 working definition of ‘microplastics,’ ECHA proposed a revised definition for ‘microplastics’ in August 2019 (European Chemicals Agency 2019). The proposed definition follows a similar approach to the definition presented by Hartmann et al. (2019), and includes four criteria which must all be met, including *substance, state, morphology, and dimensions* (European Chemicals Agency 2019). In the proposed definition, ECHA defines ‘microplastics’ as:

A material consisting of solid polymer-containing particles, to which additives or other substances may have been added, and where $\geq 1\%$ w/w of particles have (i) all dimensions $1\text{nm} \leq x \leq 5\text{mm}$, or (ii), for fibres, a length of $3\text{nm} \leq x \leq 15\text{mm}$ and length to diameter ratio of >3 . Polymers that occur in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis) are excluded, as are polymers that are (bio)degradable. (European Chemicals Agency 2019)

Where ‘polymer’ is defined in Article 3(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH) as:

A substance consisting of molecules characterised by the sequence of one or more types of monomer units. Such molecules must be distributed over a range of molecular weights wherein differences in the molecular weight are primarily attributable to differences in the number of monomer units. A polymer comprises the following:

- (a) a simple weight majority of molecules containing at least three monomer units which are covalently bound to at least one other monomer unit or other reactant;
 - (b) less than a simple weight majority of molecules of the same molecular weight.
- In the context of this definition a ‘monomer unit’ means the reacted form of a monomer substance in a polymer;
- monomer: means a substance which is capable of forming covalent bonds with a sequence of additional like or unlike molecules under the conditions of the relevant polymer-forming reaction used for the particular process. (REACH 2006)

⁹ To the knowledge of the State Water Board, REACH has not adopted a definition for ‘microplastics’ specifically in the context of drinking water or other environmental matrices, and that the proposed definition of ‘microplastics’ by ECHA mentioned within this report is meant to apply to the intentional uses of microplastics in consumer products (European Chemicals Agency 2019).

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

and

'Particle' is defined as, "a minute piece of matter with defined physical boundaries; a defined physical boundary is an interface";

'Polymer-containing particle' means "either

(i) a particle of any composition with a continuous polymer surface coating of any thickness; or

(ii) a particle of any composition with a polymer content of $\geq 1\%$ w/w";

'Solid' means, "a substance or a mixture which does not meet the definitions of liquid or gas";

'Gas' means, "a substance which

(i) at 50 °C has a vapour pressure greater than 300 kPa (absolute); or

(ii) is completely gaseous at 20 °C at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa;

'Liquid' means, "a substance or mixture which

(i) at 50 °C has a vapour pressure of not more than 300 kPa (3 bar);

(ii) is not completely gaseous at 20 °C and at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa; and

(iii) which has a melting point or initial melting point of 20 °C or less at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa." (European Chemicals Agency 2019)

Note that the August 2019 proposed definition of 'microplastics' by ECHA does not include any explicit *state*-defining criteria for polymers that lack melting points (i.e. amorphous polymers) other than that such polymers would fall under the definition of 'solid' based on their inability to fit the definition of either 'liquid' or 'gas.' In contrast, the earlier, March 2018 working definition of 'microplastics' *state* criteria include specific criteria for particles that are either "solid or semi-solid", whereby:

The 'solid' form of a polymer in the environment (at ambient temperature and pressure of 101.3 kPa) may, for example, be defined via a melting point above 20 °C (includes waxes). Thermosetting plastics, however, will decompose rather than melt above 20 °C.

'Semi-solid' refers to a material which is in a physical state between a solid and a liquid. A polymer can, for example, be defined to be a semi-solid when its melting point (at ambient temperature and pressure of 101.3 kPa) is above 20 °C and its glass transition temperature is below 20 °C. (European Chemicals Agency 2019; ECHA 2018)

These definitions for 'solid' and 'semi-solid' were based upon the GHS definitions for solids and liquids, which utilize T_m as a defining threshold. Since some polymers (e.g. amorphous polymers) lack a specific T_m or may have a T_m above 20 °C but have a T_G below 20 °C, they would behave in many regards like a "solid" but could be classified as a "semi-solid". In the August 2019 proposed definition, ECHA revised the *state* criteria such that 'solid' is defined as "a substance or mixture which does not meet the

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

definitions of liquid or gas"¹⁰ and would therefore include such "semi-solid" polymers. Although the August 2019 ECHA definition of "solid" does not depend on more explicit defining properties suggested by Hartmann et al. to classify *state*, such as "T_G, viscosity, modulus of elasticity, or tension at constant elongation" (2019), the *state* criteria is likely to be highly inclusive of particle diversities while remaining technically feasible.

ECHA acknowledges that conventional threshold-based risk assessments cannot be reliably conducted for microplastics due to an insufficient amount of information; therefore, it has defined microplastics based on dimensions and persistence, which are classified as persistent, bioaccumulating and toxic (PBT) and/or very persistent and very bioaccumulating (vPvB) (European Chemicals Agency 2019). Therefore, naturally occurring polymers that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis), and "biodegradable" polymers are excluded from their proposed definition of 'microplastics' (European Chemicals Agency 2019). In the ECHA definition of 'microplastics', criteria for the demonstration of biodegradation of microplastics are included, in which several standardized test methods are recommended (European Chemicals Agency 2019). ECHA acknowledges that commonly used plastics do not degrade rapidly or primarily through biological mechanisms, rather under photooxidation or hydrolysis, resulting in extremely long resistance time in the environment (decades to hundreds of years) (European Chemicals Agency 2019; Duis and Coors 2016; Klein et al. 2018). ECHA further cites that although some plastics are available which rapidly biodegrade, such as PHBV (66-88% mineralization after 28 days using a modified standardized method) (McDonough et al. 2017), there is a high variability in the biodegradation potential of different types of plastic in the environment (European Chemicals Agency 2019).

ECHA included solubility criteria in a previous working definition of 'microplastics', such that only "water-insoluble" were included (COM 2017). ECHA has since removed solubility criteria from subsequent working and proposed definitions, despite critiques that solubility parameters are important for risk assessment, that soluble polymers "do not contribute to the microplastics concern", and analytical techniques may not detect certain soluble polymers (ECHA 2018; European Chemicals Agency 2019). ECHA's rationale for the removal of solubility criteria is explained in a response to these critiques:

Whilst soluble polymers may be considered as not contributing to the 'microplastic' concern, this is not equivalent to a conclusion that they do not pose any risk to the environment....However, we need to explore if appropriate

¹⁰ Where liquid' means a substance or mixture which (i) at 50 degrees Celsius (°C) has a vapor pressure less than or equal to 300 kPa; (ii) is not completely gaseous at 20 °C and at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa; and (iii) which has a melting point or initial melting point greater than 20 °C at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa.

'Gas' means a substance which (i) at 50 °C has a vapor pressure greater than 300 kPa (absolute); or (ii) is completely gaseous at 20 °C at a standard pressure of 101.3 kPa.

standard methods are available and whether there should be threshold (cut-off) values for demonstrating solubility. (ECHA 2018)

The restriction proposal dossier for the intentional uses of microplastics in consumer products was open to public consultation from March to September 2019. The dossier is expected to be submitted to the European Commission in spring 2020, who will then decide whether to amend REACH's regulations with the proposed restrictions and formally adopt the proposed definition of 'microplastics' in the context of intentionally added microplastics in products (European Commission 2019).

Rationale for Defining Criteria

Defining Criteria: Substance

The *substance* of plastic is a fundamental defining characteristic for a definition of 'microplastics'; however varying threshold criteria exist within research and regulatory agencies. For instance, according to the ISO, plastic is a "material which contains as an essential ingredient a high molecular weight polymer and which, at some stage in its processing into finished products, can be shaped by flow" (ISO 2013). (ISO 2013). Similar to the ISO definition, ASTM International defines 'plastic(s)' as, "a material that contains as an essential ingredient one or more organic polymeric substances of large molecular weight, is solid in its finished state, and at some stage in its manufacture or processing into finished articles, can be shaped by flow...rubber, textiles, adhesives, and paint, which may in some cases meet this definition, are not considered plastics..." (ASTM 2020). ECHA (2019) critiques the ISO definition of 'plastic' for its dependence on terms which are not defined by ISO nor are universally accepted or standardized (i.e., 'material', 'high molecular weight polymer', and "shaped by flow"). Further, the ISO definition of 'plastic' has been criticized for being too narrow, as while it would include common, high-production classes of polymers such as thermoplastics and thermosets, some elastomers (e.g. anthropogenic rubbers) would be excluded (Hartmann et al. 2019). The ASTM definition is more narrow than the ISO definition due to their explicit exclusion of rubber, textiles, adhesives, and paint (ASTM 2020).

'Polymer' is a fundamental term in the ISO definition of 'plastic,' although it lacks a discrete, robust definition by ISO. Alternatively, a widely accepted definition for 'polymer' is defined by IUPAC as; "molecule of high relative molecular mass, the structure of which essentially comprises the multiple repetition of units derived, actually or conceptually, from molecules of low relative molecular mass" (IUPAC 2008). Typically, anthropogenic polymers are created with a molecular mass $>10,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ (Lechner et al. 2003) resulting in a high likelihood for most polymers to be at least $1 \mu\text{m}$ in one *dimension*. The IUPAC definition of 'polymer' is relatively widely inclusive, and would include copolymers, which are produced from "more than one species of monomer" (IUPAC 2008). Yet, an even more inclusive definition of 'polymer' is defined by REACH and used in the definition of 'microplastics' proposed by ECHA (2019):

'Polymer' means a substance consisting of molecules characterized by the sequence of one or more types of monomer units. Such molecules must be distributed over a range of molecular weights wherein differences in the

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

molecular weight are primarily attributable to differences in the number of monomer units. A polymer comprises the following:

- (a) a simple weight majority of molecules containing at least three monomer units which are covalently bound to at least one other monomer unit or other reactant;
- (b) less than a simple weight majority of molecules of the same molecular weight.

'Monomer unit' means the reacted form of a monomer substance in a polymer.

'Monomer' means a substance which is capable of forming covalent bonds with a sequence of additional like or unlike molecules under the conditions of the relevant polymer-forming reaction used for the particular process. (REACH 2006)

Since the REACH definition of 'polymer' is more inclusive than the IUPAC definition, the REACH definition should be considered to be more health-protective based on its ability to characterize a wider breadth of constituents, and is therefore considered for adoption into the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water'.

It is worth noting that the REACH definition of 'polymer' includes both naturally occurring and synthetic (i.e. anthropogenic) polymers. ECHA observes that, "the microplastic concern is, in general, associated with synthetic polymers" (2019). As such, the ECHA definition specifically excludes, "Polymers that occur in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis)" (2019). While there is no clear scientific consensus regarding the importance of a polymer's origin/persistence in determining its toxicity and behavior in the environment, recent evidence suggests that synthetic polymers are more toxic to various biota (Scherer et al. 2020; Le Guen et al. 2020; Schür et al. 2019). Still, few toxicological studies have compared synthetic polymers with natural polymers, resulting in strong uncertainties (Backhaus and Wagner 2019). Despite these marked uncertainties, most definitions of 'microplastics' refer to either 'synthetic polymers' and/or to specific polymer classes (e.g. thermosets¹¹, thermoplastics¹², chemically- or mechanically- modified elastomers¹³) and/or to certain polymer characteristics (e.g. those that retain their shape during use) (European Chemicals Agency 2019; Hartmann et al. 2019). In maintaining consistency with nearly all academic and regulatory definitions of 'microplastics,' the proposed State Water

¹¹Thermoset polymers are polymers that are irreversibly hardened by curing, which results in cross-linked polymer chains. When exposed to high temperatures, thermoset polymers do not melt, but will decompose. Thermoset polymers cannot be reshaped, thus preventing most forms of recycling (The Open University (UK) 2000). Examples of thermoset polymers includes vulcanized rubber, polyester resins, epoxy resins, silicon resins. Some polymers, such as polyurethane, can be either thermoplastic or thermoset.

¹²Thermoplastic polymers are associated by intermolecular forces, meaning that they are chemically reversible and will soften when heated and become fluid with additional heat. Thermoplastics are produced at relatively high volumes and as such are found at high quantities in the environment. Thermoplastics may be recycled through re-melting and forming via injection molding. Thermoplastic polymers can be petroleum- or bio-base. Examples include polylactic acid, nylon, polyethylene, polypropylene, polyethylene terephthalate, polystyrene, and polyvinyl chloride.

¹³ Elastomer is defined as a polymer that exhibits elastic properties (IUPAC 2008).

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

Board definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' includes a criterion for chemical origin such that only polymeric materials that are derived in nature and have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis) are excluded. Note that the State Water Board definition uses the term, "derived in nature" as opposed to the ECHA (2019) term, "occur in nature". This difference in wording is intentional and is aimed to reduce potential loopholes in the interpretation of this exception, as chemically modified anthropogenic polymers clearly occur in nature as a result of environmental contamination.

ECHA's 2017 working definition of 'microplastics' in the context of intentionally added microplastics to products includes criterion for polymer origin under the term, "synthetic" (COM 2017). "Synthetic" is later removed from ECHA's proposed definition for 'microplastics', and is replaced with a statement to exclude "polymers that occur in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis)... [and] are polymers that are (bio)degradable", under the rationale that *persistence* is a principle defining characteristic of problems associated 'microplastics' (European Chemicals Agency 2019). It is worth noting that "biodegradable" polymers (e.g. poly-lactic acid [PLA]) have demonstrated *in vivo* toxic effects similar or equivalent to their conventional, non-biodegradable counterparts (Green et al. 2017; 2016). Due to a lack of refined and widely accepted standards to determine biodegradability as well as uncertainties regarding the human toxicological effects of biodegradable polymers, the proposed State Water Board definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' does not exclude "biodegradable" polymers.

To further clarify the types of polymers included in the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water', a discrete, non-exhaustive list of polymer types and monomer units are listed, along with examples, in *Table 1*. The *substance* criteria in the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' could be summarized as being an expansion of the ISO definition of 'plastic'¹⁴ in which 'polymer' (as it appears in the ISO definition) would include the IUPAC definition¹⁵, but additionally includes anthropogenic polymers that are not shaped by flow (e.g. elastomers). The proposed *substance* criteria include all forms of thermoplastic and thermoset polymers, in addition to anthropogenic elastomers, anthropogenic inorganic/hybrid polymers, and elastomers and inorganic/hybrid polymers that have been chemically modified. The proposed *substance* criteria includes polymers in which least one base monomer unit is derived from petroleum or non-petroleum biologically-derived chemicals (except for natural polymers that have not been chemically modified other than by hydrolysis), and would also include chemically-modified inorganic chemicals, inorganic-organic hybrid chemicals/polymers, chemically-modified natural rubber, and chemically-modified cellulose. Several examples of polymer categories are in *Table 1*¹⁶.

¹⁴ (ISO 2013).

¹⁵ (IUPAC 2008).

¹⁶ It is important to note that the listed polymer categories in this section and *Table 1* are not exhaustive and are only provided for additional guidance in the interpretation of this proposed definition.

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

Rationale for the inclusion of chemically-modified natural polymers, chemically-modified natural rubber, and cellulose that have been further processed to produce a final polymer (i.e. chemically-modified) is that these particles have been heavily modified such that their toxicological properties and environmental fate and transport are likely altered (Hartmann et al. 2019).

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

Table 1. Examples of Substances Included in the Proposed Definition

Derived monomer or physical constituent	Examples
Petroleum	polyethylene, polypropylene, polyurethane, polyethylene terephthalate, polystyrene, polyvinyl chloride (PVC)
non-petroleum biologically derived chemicals	bio-polyethylene terephthalate, bio-polyethylene, polylactic acid, polyhydroxyalkanoates
Inorganic or inorganic-organic hybrid polymers	elastomers such as silicone
Chemically modified natural polymers	Dyed wool, dyed cotton
Chemically modified natural rubber	Tire wear particles
Chemically modified cellulose	rayon, cellophane
Copolymers	acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene [ABS], ethylene-vinyl acetate [EVA], styrene-butadiene rubber [SBR]
Polymer composites	nylon, glass fiber-reinforced polyester, graphite reinforced epoxy, cotton-polyester or wool-polyester textile blends

Polymers containing high quantities of non-polymeric additives (e.g., PVC) are also included in the proposed definition per the clause, “*to which additives or other substances may have been added*”. Additive content (e.g. plasticizers, colorants, reinforcements, fillers, flame retardants, stabilizers) varies widely in anthropogenic polymers and may change once in the environment (Hartmann et al. 2019; Rochman et al. 2019). Additionally, many additives and monomers are known to be toxic (i.e. BPA, DEHP) (Manikkam et al. 2013) and may contribute to the toxicity of exposure to anthropogenic polymeric particles (Lithner, Larsson, and Dave 2011).

Copolymers, or synthetic polymers produced from more than one species of monomer (e.g., acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene [ABS], ethylene-vinyl acetate [EVA], styrene-butadiene rubber [SBR]) are also included as these polymers are not derived in nature (Hartmann et al. 2019). Notably, ABS and EVA would be considered ‘plastic’ according to ISO (2013) as they are thermoplastics, however SBR would not be considered ‘plastic’ by the ISO definition since it is an elastomer. Accordingly, these, and other copolymers (e.g. synthetic rubber copolymers) are included in the *substance* criteria for the definition of ‘Microplastics in Drinking Water’.

In addition to copolymers and high-additive content polymers, polymer composite materials such as nylon, glass fiber-reinforced polyester, graphite reinforced epoxy, cotton-polyester or wool-polyester textile blends are included in the *substance* criteria for the definition of ‘Microplastics in Drinking Water’ granted they satisfy the following criteria:

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- (i) a particle of any composition with a continuous polymer surface coating of any thickness, or;
- (ii) a particle of any composition with a synthetic polymer content of greater than or equal to 1% by mass.

Exclusions

The definition of microplastics in drinking water excludes polymers derived exclusively from natural origins and materials (e.g., DNA, proteins, wool, silk, cellulose) according to the clause, "polymers that are derived in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis) are excluded". Slightly modified natural polymers (e.g., dyed wool) may be excluded so long as they satisfy the criteria of being composed of <1% synthetic polymer by mass.

Defining Criteria: State

While it may be commonly thought that all plastic polymers are 'solid' materials at room temperature and standard pressure, some polymers can be wax-like, semisolid, or liquid. Most polymers have a vapor pressure <300 kPa (at 50 °C) and an initial melting point >20 °C (T_m at 101.3 kPa), which would therefore be considered solids under the GHS (United Nations 2013). While melting temperature (T_m) determines the difference between solid and liquid state for most materials, amorphous and semicrystalline plastics will behave differently when heated (Hartmann et al. 2019). Amorphous polymers (e.g., polystyrene, ABS) are hard, brittle materials at temperatures below their glass transition temperature (T_G) but become viscous and free flowing above their T_G (Hartmann et al. 2019). Semicrystalline polymers (e.g., polyamide, polyethylene terephthalate, polypropylene, PVC, polyethylene, polycarbonate) have both a T_G and a T_M , in which they are hard and brittle below their T_G ; ductile, soft, and form-stable below their T_M and liquid above their T_M . (Hartmann et al. 2019). While T_M may adequately predict the state of semicrystalline polymers, amorphous polymers lack a specific T_M (Hartmann et al. 2019). Based on the lack of T_M for some polymers, Hartmann et al. propose that T_G should be used to define *state*, with a proposed threshold of $T_G > 20$ °C (i.e. ambient room temperature), based on practical purposes of conducting measurements of plastic under standard laboratory conditions (2019).

A *state* threshold of $T_G > 20$ °C would exclude some wax-like polymers as well as soft polymer gels. Polymer gels may be derived from natural (e.g., gelatin, agarose) or synthetic feedstock (e.g., polyacrylamide, polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene glycol) and are used in various applications, such as polyacrylamide copolymers which are used as flocculation agents during wastewater treatment (Hartmann et al. 2019). In the field of polymer science, polymer gels are considered solids within an additional medium (i.e., liquid) (Rogovina, Vasil'ev, and Braudo 2008). Some polymer gels or their monomeric units are known to be toxic to humans. For example, the monomeric constituent of polyacrylamide- acrylamide- is a potent human neurotoxicant and suspected carcinogen, and is regulated in drinking water by the U.S. EPA (Rudén 2004). Further, the U.S. EPA regulates polymer applications so that dissolved acrylamide

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

concentrations do not exceed 500 ng/L (U.S. EPA 2003). Despite the documented and undocumented toxicity of polymer gels, inclusion of such constituents in the definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' is not technically feasible due to the fact that in aqueous solutions, polymer gels become soft and viscous and may be difficult to separate using traditional microplastics extraction methods¹⁷ (Hartmann et al. 2019).

ECHA included T_G and T_m thresholds within the *state* criteria of a previous working definition of 'microplastics' to define 'solid' and 'semi-solid' polymers, but later removed T_G as a defining feature in the *state* criteria, defining 'solid' as "a substance or mixture which does not meet the definitions of liquid or gas" and would therefore include such 'semi-solid' polymers (e.g. amorphous polymers) (European Chemicals Agency 2019). The *state* criteria included in the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water', which is synonymous with the *state* criteria included in the proposed definition by ECHA in August 2019, is likely to be highly inclusive of particle diversities while remaining technically feasible using typical methods and instruments used to characterize microplastics.

Defining Criteria: Dimensions

The proposed lower size limit for "at least two dimensions being" at least 1 μm is based on the fundamental physical differences of plastic particles smaller than 1 μm . Specifically, particles between 1-1,000 nm exhibit strong colloidal behavior (Gigault et al. 2016; 2018), and cannot be identified using light-based microscopy, thus requiring fundamentally different techniques and instrumentation for characterization (Frias et al. 2018). The rationale for at least two dimensions meeting threshold criteria is to exclude large fibers and films that would be considered microplastics under the US EPA definition of "any one dimension." Such fibers and films with dimensions longer than 5,000 μm are not typically considered to be microplastics and are expected to behave fundamentally differently than smaller microplastic particles. Furthermore, defining microplastic particles as having at least two dimensions between defined size thresholds would require the measurement or reliable interpolation of at least two dimensions, thus improving size-specific data for samples.

The proposed upper size limit for of 5,000 μm is the most widely used in the scientific literature, dating back to 2003 (Hartmann et al. 2019; A. L. Andrady 2003). NOAA adopted this upper size limit based on the likelihood of particles smaller than these dimensions being ingested relative to larger items (C. Arthur, Baker, and Bamford 2009). Further, this upper size limit is congruous with ECHA's definition of 'microplastics'¹⁸ (European Chemicals Agency 2019). A distinctive dimensions criterion for fibers may be included in a future definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' if

¹⁷ Polymer gels, such as polyacrylamide, may appear as 'solids' in water due to agglomeration and other mechanisms. A further discussion regarding water-soluble polymers is included on page 20.

¹⁸ Except in the case of "fibres", which ECHA further defines as having, "a length of 3nm $\leq x \leq 15\text{mm}$ and length to diameter ratio of >3 " (European Chemicals Agency 2019).

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

available standardized methodology, human health toxicological information, and occurrence data suggest that such a distinction is necessary.

In 2016, California amended the Ocean Plan to include provisions for the control of trash, including a requirement to install “full capture systems” in storm drains to restrict trash particles larger than 5 mm (State Water Board 2016a). While it was understood that the smaller particles that would pass through these devices would negatively impact water quality due to their dimensions-dependent biological hazard, 5mm (5,000 μm) was ultimately chosen based on reliability and performance sensitivity under varying loads (State Water Board 2016b). While the State Water Board definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water' is not a *de facto* regulatory definition of microplastics in other media, the adoption of 5,000 μm as an upper limit would eliminate contrasting definitions of 'microplastics' within the State Water Board or the need for development of another dimensions-based plastic classification.

While the occurrence of microplastics in drinking water is not considered a primary factor in the formulation of the *dimensions* criterion in the proposed 'Microplastics in Drinking Water', it is worthwhile to consider such occurrences. Currently there are no treatment technologies directly targeted at the removal of microplastics from drinking water. Nevertheless, several drinking water treatment technologies have anecdotally been found to remove microplastics, with dimensions being a significant factor (Novotna et al. 2019). In a study that measured microplastic content ($> 1 \mu\text{m}$) at the inlet (raw surface water) and subsequently at the outlet (treated water) of three drinking water treatment plants, removal rates for treatment technologies were as follows: coagulation/flocculation and sand filtration (70% removal); coagulation/flocculation, sedimentation, sand filtration and granular activated carbon filtration (81% removal); coagulation/flocculation, flotation, sand filtration and granular activated carbon filtration (83% removal) (Pivokonsky et al. 2018). For all three drinking water treatment plants, microplastics in the 1-5 μm range were most abundant (25-60%), followed by microplastics between 5-10 μm (30-50%) (Pivokonsky et al. 2018). Microplastics $>50 \mu\text{m}$ in dimensions were virtually not detected in treated water, and no microplastics $>100 \mu\text{m}$ were detected in treated water, despite their observed occurrence in raw water (Pivokonsky et al. 2018). One study found that ultrafiltration using polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (30 nm average pore diameter) effectively rejected all polyethylene microplastics ($<500 \mu\text{m}$) (Ma et al. 2019). Very few studies have measured microplastics in groundwater, with the highest abundance being 0.007 microplastics/liter ($>20 \mu\text{m}$), although very small microplastics were not measured (Mintenig et al. 2019). Self-contamination during sampling and analysis of microplastics is widely reported (Scopetani et al. 2020), and, despite extensive efforts documented by Mintenig et al. (2019), there is skepticism regarding the validity of the findings of microplastics in groundwater (Kniggendorf, Wetzel, and Roth 2019).

While there is currently insufficient evidence to determine the risk to humans from the ingestion of microplastics in drinking water due to incomplete hazard identification and

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

exposure, sufficient evidence exists to suggest that smaller microplastic particles are likely more toxic to humans than larger particles and should therefore be prioritized for monitoring in drinking water (World Health Organization 2019).

Mammalian studies demonstrate that smaller particles have an increased efficiency to translocate across the gut and be further distributed into target organs (Wright and Kelly 2017; Volkheimer 1975; Jani et al. 1989). Once ingested, nondegradable particles (i.e., microplastics) may be distributed into the gastrointestinal tract via multiple processes, including paracellular persorption and endocytosis- which depend largely on the dimensions and shape of the particle (Wright and Kelly 2017; Volkheimer 1975). Paracellular persorption of microplastic particles has been documented in mammalian models, including polyvinyl chloride (PVC) microplastic particles in dogs (Steffens 1995; Volkheimer 1975). Following the ingestion of 5-110 μm PVC microplastics by dogs, PVC particles were found in bile, urine, cerebrospinal fluid, tissue and organs (Volkheimer 1975). The uptake of microplastic particles (1-2.2 μm) into the gastrointestinal tract via endocytosis by Peyer's patches has been documented in mammalian models, including rats and mice (Jani et al. 1989; LeFevre, Boccio, and Joel 1989). Once taken up into the gastrointestinal tract, microplastic particles may be further transported into sensitive organs via the chyle (lumen) of underlying lymph vessels, as demonstrated for PVC particles (5-110 μm) in rats, guinea pigs, rabbits, chickens, dogs and pigs; or by portal circulation, as demonstrated in dogs (Volkheimer 1975).

In addition to the enhanced uptake and distribution of smaller microplastics, hazards increase with smaller dimensions due to the interaction with target systems (Wu et al. 2019; Wright and Kelly 2017). The desorption rate of sorbed chemicals is inversely correlated with size due to increased surface area (Coffin, Lee, et al. 2019; Koelmans et al. 2013). However, some externally mixed additives such as decaBDE and inorganic pigments may mechanically separate from particles at different rates, thus larger particles with orders of magnitude more chemical mass may also release chemicals at relevant rates if ingested (Reche et al. 2019; De la Torre et al. 2018). Due to the biopersistence of microplastics, interactions with cells and tissues may lead to biological responses including inflammation, genotoxicity, oxidative stress, apoptosis, and necrosis (Wright and Kelly 2017; Volkheimer 1975). If sustained, these conditions may cause adverse health outcomes such as tissue damage, fibrosis, and carcinogenesis (Wright and Kelly 2017).

Non-defining Criteria: Morphology and Color

Morphology and color are useful descriptors for microplastics that may be relevant to toxicological risk assessments, fate and transport models, and origin, however, are not considered to be defining criteria for the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water'. Regardless, such non-defining criteria should be recorded, to the extent possible, in standard methods for microplastics in drinking water. Once available, the

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

use of standardized terminology to describe the morphology and color of identified microplastics in drinking water should be employed.

Common classifications for the morphology of microplastics include spheres, pellets, fragments, films, and fibers. The State Water Board is not yet aware of a standardized taxonomy for the morphology of microplastics, and thus tentatively recommends the following guidelines based on previous recommendations (Hartmann et al. 2019):

- pellet - every surface point has the same distance from the center;
- fiber- length to diameter ratio of >3;
- fiber bundle – typically inseparable group of >2 fibers;
- fragment- particle with irregular shape;
- film- planar, considerably smaller in one than in the other dimensions;
- black rubbery fragment- typically anthropogenic crumb rubber derived from tires which is technically challenging to identify using common spectroscopic techniques.

A standardized color palette should be employed to characterize color.

Non-criteria: Solubility

While many conventional polymers are poorly soluble in water, some synthetic polymers readily dissolve in water (e.g., low molecular polyethylene glycol, polyvinyl alcohol). As mentioned earlier, one such water-soluble polymer, polyacrylamide, persists in the environment and degrades into the potent neurotoxicant monomer- acrylamide- under anaerobic conditions (Hennecke et al. 2018; Xiong et al. 2018). Polyacrylamide is widely used as a flocculant in water treatment, soil conditioner in agriculture, and viscosity enhancer in oil and gas drilling and fracking, with high concentrations (10-1,000 mg/L) reported in wastewater effluent concentrations (Xiong et al. 2018). Due to the persistence, toxicity, and widespread use of polyacrylamide and other water-soluble polymers, there is concern that the exclusion of water-soluble polymers from a regulatory definition of 'microplastics' may cause them to be ignored (Arp and Knutsen 2019).

Water-soluble polymers may appear as microscopic particles due to agglomeration with other particles, cross-linking, coating of flocculated composites, and other mechanisms (Berndt et al. 1991; Rivas, Urbano, and Sánchez 2018). Moreover, water-soluble polymers may be measured using analytical techniques that are used to measure water-insoluble polymers, such as dimensions exclusion chromatography, infrared spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry (Arp and Knutsen 2019). Based on the persistence, toxicity, and potential for detection of water-soluble polymers using a variety of analytical techniques that are also used to detect water-insoluble polymers, there are no solubility threshold criteria in the proposed definition of 'microplastics in drinking water.' The exclusion of a solubility threshold is consistent with ECHA's proposed definition of 'microplastics' (2019).

Plastic-associated chemicals regulated in drinking water in California

It is understood that plastic can transfer chemicals to biota once ingested (Koelmans et al. 2016). In aquatic biota, plastic may or may not be a relevant transfer mechanism for such chemicals relative to other environmental exposure media (Bakir et al. 2016; Burns and Boxall 2018). It remains uncertain if the transfer of chemicals from a particle via ingestion through drinking water is a relevant factor in the hazards of microplastics to humans, despite a preliminary risk assessment based on highly conservative assumptions (World Health Organization 2019). While not a defining feature (critical or otherwise) to the proposed definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water', included here is a discussion of chemicals associated with plastic that are currently regulated in drinking water in California (per Title 22 and 17 of the California Code of Regulations) to provide a basis for examining potential, poorly documented hazards associated with such chemicals and microplastic particles in regards to human health.

Some chemicals may be intentionally added to plastic during manufacturing to be used as a functional additive (i.e., plasticizer, flame retardant, stabilizer, antioxidant, slip agent, lubricant, anti-static, curing agent, blowing agent, biocide), colorant (i.e. inorganic pigment, organic pigment, soluble colorant), filler, reinforcement, or monomer (Hahladakis et al. 2018). Additionally, some compounds may be unintentionally added to plastic through the manufacturing process or may be generated as a result of the breakdown of plastic in the environment (Gewert, Plassmann, and MacLeod 2015; Van et al. 2012). For the purposes of this discussion, the aforementioned attributes are requisite criteria for a chemical to be classified as a "plastic-associated chemical." Chemicals that sorb to plastic in the environment after the manufacturing process are excluded from the classification of "plastic-associated chemicals" in recognition that plastic is not the source of such chemicals, but rather a transport mechanism.

Many known plastic-associated chemicals are currently regulated in drinking water in California (i.e., have a Maximum Contaminant Level or MCL per Title 22 and 17 of the California Code of Regulations) and are known to leach from plastic in the environment. These include, but are not limited to:

- Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)- a commonly-used plastic additive in a wide range of products including food packages, cosmetics, medical devices, and PVC (Hauser and Calafat 2005);
- Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate – a reagent used to make plastic (Fasano et al. 2012);
- antimony (Sb)- used in the form of antimony trioxide (Sb_2O_3) as an important catalyst in the manufacture of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) plastic and known to leach from PET water bottles (Shotyk and Krachler 2007);
- methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) – a reagent used to make plastic (Chang et al. 2003) that has been found to leach from plastic including cross-bonded polyethylene (PEX) (Skjevrak et al. 2003);
- styrene- a monomer used to make polystyrene plastic (Garrigós et al. 2004);
- vinyl chloride- a monomer used to make PVC (Fayad et al. 1997);

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- benzene, ethylbenzene – byproducts of the thermo-oxidation degradation pathway of plastic (Hoff et al. 1982);
- arsenic – a degradation product of arsenic-based biocides used in plastics such as soft PVC and foamed polyurethanes (Nichols 2005);
- cadmium and lead- degradation products of cadmium- and lead-based compounds used as heat stabilizers and slip agents (Al-Malack 2001);
- 2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin), and cyanide – released from chlorine-containing plastics (e.g., PVC) during thermal degradation (Lokensgard 2016);
- fluoride – released from fluorine-containing polymers (e.g. polytetrafluoroethylene [PTFE]) and polyvinylidene fluoride) by a chain-stripping mechanism and other degradation pathways (Lokensgard 2016);
- chromium- used as pigment (Anthony L. Andrady and Rajapakse 2016);
- polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) including congeners 77, 110,114, and 206, which, although generally banned for use in the United States under the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1979, are still found in plastics produced in the United States and China likely as impurities in dyes and pigments (Coffin et al. 2018; Hu and Hornbuckle 2010; Rodenburg et al. 2010).

It should be noted that plastic-associated chemicals range drastically in terms of use and their ability to leach from plastics in the environment, and depend on a wide range of factors such as polymer type, intended use, production facility, production processes, and environmental parameters such as ultraviolet light exposure, salinity, heat, chemical interactions, enzymes, dissolved organic carbon, dimensions, etc. (Coffin, Huang, et al. 2019; Coffin et al. 2018; Lokensgard 2016). Extremely limited evidence regarding the transfer of such chemicals to humans from microplastics is currently available (World Health Organization 2019; Zhang et al. 2020).

References

- 22 CCR § 69405.7. *Particle Size or Fiber Dimension*. 2011. *California Code of Regulations*.
[https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/I7AEE2BC032A411E186A4EF11E7983D17?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/I7AEE2BC032A411E186A4EF11E7983D17?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Default)).
- Al-Malack, Muhammad H. 2001. "Migration of Lead from Unplasticized Polyvinyl Chloride Pipes." *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 82 (3): 263–74.
- Andrady, A. L., ed. 2003. *Plastics and the Environment*. Hoboken, N.J: Wiley-Interscience.
- Andrady, Anthony L., and Nepali Rajapakse. 2016. "Additives and Chemicals in Plastics." In *Hazardous Chemicals Associated with Plastics in the Marine Environment*, edited by Hideshige Takada and Hrisi K. Karapanagioti, 78:1–17. Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2016_124.
- Arp, Hans Peter H., and Heidi Knutsen. 2019. "Could We Spare a Moment of the Spotlight for Persistent, Water-Soluble Polymers?" *Environmental Science & Technology*, December, acs.est.9b07089.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07089>.
- Arthur, C., J. Baker, and H. Bamford, eds. 2009. "Arthur, C., J. Baker and H. Bamford (Eds). 2009. Proceedings of the International Research Workshop on the Occurrence, Effects and Fate of Microplastic Marine Debris. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R-30.Sept 9-11, 2008." In .
- Arthur, Courtney, Joel Baker, and Holly Bamford. 2008. "International Research Workshop on the Occurrence, Effects, and Fate of Microplastic Marine Debris." In , 9–11.
- ASTM. 2020. "ASTM D883-20, Standard Terminology Relating to Plastics,." <https://www.astm.org/Standards/D883.htm>.
- Backhaus, Thomas, and Martin Wagner. 2019. "Microplastics in the Environment: Much Ado about Nothing? A Debate." *Global Challenges*, June, 1900022.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201900022>.
- Bakir, Adil, Isabel A. O'Connor, Steven J. Rowland, A. Jan Hendriks, and Richard C. Thompson. 2016. "Relative Importance of Microplastics as a Pathway for the Transfer of Hydrophobic Organic Chemicals to Marine Life." *Environmental Pollution* 219 (December): 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.09.046>.
- Berndt, WO, WF Bergfeld, RK Boutwell, WW Carlton, DK Hoffmann, AL Schroeter, and RC Shank. 1991. "Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Polyacrylamide." *Journal of the American College of Toxicology* 10 (1): 193–203.
- Burns, Emily E., and Alistair B.A. Boxall. 2018. "Microplastics in the Aquatic Environment: Evidence for or against Adverse Impacts and Major Knowledge Gaps: Microplastics in the Environment." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 37 (11): 2776–96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4268>.
- California Code of Regulations. 2018a. *California Safe Drinking Water Act. Health and Safety Code 116350. Health and Safety Code*. Vol. 116350.
- . 2018b. *Microplastics Materials*. Vol. Chapter 3.2, Section 35635, Division 26.5, Public Resources Code.

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB1263.
- Chang, Chih-Chung, Shun-Jin Lo, Jiunn-Guang Lo, and Jia-Lin Wang. 2003. "Analysis of Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether in the Atmosphere and Implications as an Exclusive Indicator of Automobile Exhaust." *Atmospheric Environment* 37 (34): 4747–55.
- Coffin, Scott, Stacia Dudley, Allison Taylor, Douglas Wolf, Jie Wang, Ilkeun Lee, and Daniel Schlenk. 2018. "Comparisons of Analytical Chemistry and Biological Activities of Extracts from North Pacific Gyre Plastics with UV-Treated and Untreated Plastics Using in Vitro and in Vivo Models." *Environment International* 121 (December): 942–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.10.012>.
- Coffin, Scott, Guo-Yong Huang, Ilkeun Lee, and Daniel Schlenk. 2019. "Fish and Seabird Gut Conditions Enhance Desorption of Estrogenic Chemicals from Commonly-Ingested Plastic Items." *Environmental Science & Technology* 53 (8): 4588–99. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b07140>.
- Coffin, Scott, Ilkeun Lee, Jay Gan, and Daniel Schlenk. 2019. "Simulated Digestion of Polystyrene Foam Enhances Desorption of Diethylhexyl Phthalate (DEHP) and In Vitro Estrogenic Activity in a Size-Dependent Manner." *Environmental Pollution* 246 (March): 452–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.12.011>.
- COM. 2017. "Request to the European Chemicals Agency to Prepare a Restriction Proposal Conforming to the Requirements of Annex XV to REACH," 2017. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13641/microplastics_cion_reqst_axvdo ssier_en.pdf/5c8be037-3f81-266a-d71b-1a67ec01cbf9.
- De la Torre, A, B Barbas, P Sanz, I Navarro, B Artíñano, and MA Martínez. 2018. "Traditional and Novel Halogenated Flame Retardants in Urban Ambient Air: Gas-Particle Partitioning, Size Distribution and Health Implications." *Science of the Total Environment* 630: 154–63.
- Dolan, Jonathan, Julie Johnson, Karen Black, and Katherine Walsh. 2019. "Draft Staff Report and Work Plan for 2019 Review of the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California." State Water Resources Control Board. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ocean/docs/opr2019_ds frpt.pdf.
- Duis, Karen, and Anja Coors. 2016. "Microplastics in the Aquatic and Terrestrial Environment: Sources (with a Specific Focus on Personal Care Products), Fate and Effects." *Environmental Sciences Europe* 28 (1): 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-015-0069-y>.
- ECHA. 2018. "Note on Substance Identification and the Potential Scope of a Restriction on Uses of 'Microplastics.'" https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13641/note_on_substance_identificatio n_potential_scope_en.pdf.
- Eerkes-Medrano, Dafne, Heather A. Leslie, and Brian Quinn. 2019. "Microplastics in Drinking Water: A Review and Assessment." *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health* 7 (February): 69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018.12.001>.
- European Chemicals Agency. 2019. "Annex XV Restriction Report Proposal for a Restriction: Intentionally Added Microplastics. Version 1.2." Proposal 1.2.

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- Helsinki, Finland. <https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/05bd96e3-b969-0a7c-c6d0-441182893720>.
- European Commission. 2019. "ECHA Public Consultation on the Restriction Dossier for Microplastics Intentionally Added to Products." European Commission. https://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/echa-public-consultation-restriction-dossier-microplastics-intentionally-added-products_en.
- Fasano, Evelina, Francisco Bono-Blay, Teresa Cirillo, Paolo Montuori, and Silvia Lacorte. 2012. "Migration of Phthalates, Alkylphenols, Bisphenol A and Di(2-Ethylhexyl)Adipate from Food Packaging." *Food Control* 27 (1): 132–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.03.005>.
- Fayad, Nabil M., Sami Y. Sheikheldin, Muhammad H. Al-Malack, Aarif H. El-Mubarak, and Naseem Khaja. 1997. "Migration of Vinyl Chloride Monomer (VCM) and Additives into PVC Bottled Drinking Water." *Journal of Environmental Science and Health . Part A: Environmental Science and Engineering and Toxicology* 32 (4): 1065–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529709376596>.
- Frias, João P. G. L., Elena Pagter, Roisin Nash, Ian O'Connor, Olga Carretero, Ana Filgueiras, Lucia Viñas, et al. 2018. "Standardised Protocol for Monitoring Microplastics in Sediments." <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.36256.89601/1>.
- Garrigós, M.C., M.L. Marín, A. Cantó, and A. Sánchez. 2004. "Determination of Residual Styrene Monomer in Polystyrene Granules by Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry." *Journal of Chromatography A* 1061 (2): 211–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2004.10.102>.
- GESAMP. 2019. "Guidelines on the Monitoring and Assessment of Plastic Litter and Microplastics in the Ocean (Kershaw P.J., Turra A. and Galgani F. Editors), (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP/ISA Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection)." 99. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). https://www.marinelittersolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/GESAMP_Workshop_on_Microplastics.pdf.
- Gewert, Berit, Merle M. Plassmann, and Matthew MacLeod. 2015. "Pathways for Degradation of Plastic Polymers Floating in the Marine Environment." *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts* 17 (9): 1513–21. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EM00207A>.
- Gigault, Julien, Alexandra ter Halle, Magalie Baudrimont, Pierre-Yves Pascal, Fabienne Gauffre, Thuy-Linh Phi, Hind El Hadri, Bruno Grassl, and Stéphanie Reynaud. 2018. "Current Opinion: What Is a Nanoplastic?" *Environmental Pollution* 235 (April): 1030–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.024>.
- Gigault, Julien, Boris Pedrono, Benoît Maxit, and Alexandra Ter Halle. 2016. "Marine Plastic Litter: The Unanalyzed Nano-Fraction." *Environmental Science: Nano* 3 (2): 346–50. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EN00008H>.
- Green, Dannielle Senga, Bas Boots, Nessa E. O'Connor, and Richard Thompson. 2017. "Microplastics Affect the Ecological Functioning of an Important Biogenic Habitat." *Environmental Science & Technology* 51 (1): 68–77. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04496>.
- Green, Dannielle Senga, Bas Boots, Julia Sigwart, Shan Jiang, and Carlos Rocha. 2016. "Effects of Conventional and Biodegradable Microplastics on a Marine

- Ecosystem Engineer (Arenicola Marina) and Sediment Nutrient Cycling.” *Environmental Pollution* 208: 426–34.
- Hahladakis, John N., Costas A. Velis, Roland Weber, Eleni Iacovidou, and Phil Purnell. 2018. “An Overview of Chemical Additives Present in Plastics: Migration, Release, Fate and Environmental Impact during Their Use, Disposal and Recycling.” *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 344 (February): 179–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.10.014>.
- Hartmann, Nanna B., Thorsten Hüffer, Richard C. Thompson, Martin Hassellöv, Anja Verschoor, Anders E. Daugaard, Sinja Rist, et al. 2019. “Are We Speaking the Same Language? Recommendations for a Definition and Categorization Framework for Plastic Debris.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 53 (3): 1039–47. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05297>.
- Hauser, Russ, and AM Calafat. 2005. “Phthalates and Human Health.” *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 62 (11): 806–18.
- Hennecke, Dieter, Angela Bauer, Monika Herrchen, Erik Wischerhoff, and Friedhelm Gores. 2018. “Cationic Polyacrylamide Copolymers (PAMs): Environmental Half Life Determination in Sludge-Treated Soil.” *Environmental Sciences Europe* 30 (1): 16.
- Hoff, Ariel, Sven Jacobsson, Pirkko Pfäffli, Antti Zitting, and Harald Frostling. 1982. “Degradation Products of Plastics: Polyethylene and Styrene-Containing Thermoplastics—Analytical, Occupational and Toxicologic Aspects.” *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 1–60.
- Hu, Dingfei, and Keri C. Hornbuckle. 2010. “Inadvertent Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Commercial Paint Pigments †.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 44 (8): 2822–27. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es902413k>.
- Institute for Environment and Sustainability (Joint Research Centre) , MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter. 2013. “Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas.” <https://doi.org/10.2788/99816>.
- International Organization for Standardization. 2013. “Plastics - Vocabulary (ISO 472:2013).” <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:472:ed-4:v1:en>.
- IUPAC. 2008. *Compendium of Polymer Terminology and Nomenclature: IUPAC Recommendations*. Cambridge: RSC Pub.
- Jani, P, GW Halbert, J Langridge, and AT Florence. 1989. “The Uptake and Translocation of Latex Nanospheres and Microspheres after Oral Administration to Rats.” *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 41 (12): 809–12.
- Klein, Sascha, Ian K. Dimzon, Jan Eubeler, and Thomas P. Knepper. 2018. “Analysis, Occurrence, and Degradation of Microplastics in the Aqueous Environment.” In *Freshwater Microplastics*, edited by Martin Wagner and Scott Lambert, 58:51–67. Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5_3.
- Kniggendorf, Ann-Kathrin, Christoph Wetzel, and Bernhard Roth. 2019. “Microplastics Detection in Streaming Tap Water with Raman Spectroscopy.” *Sensors* 19 (8): 1839. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19081839>.
- Koelmans, Albert A., Adil Bakir, G. Allen Burton, and Colin R. Janssen. 2016. “Microplastic as a Vector for Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment: Critical Review and Model-Supported Reinterpretation of Empirical Studies.”

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- Environmental Science & Technology* 50 (7): 3315–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06069>.
- Koelmans, Albert A., Ellen Besseling, Anna Wegner, and Edwin M. Foekema. 2013. "Plastic as a Carrier of POPs to Aquatic Organisms: A Model Analysis." *Environmental Science & Technology* 47 (14): 7812–20.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/es401169n>.
- Le Guen, Camille, Giuseppe Suaria, Richard B. Sherley, Peter G. Ryan, Stefano Aliani, Lars Boehme, and Andrew S. Brierley. 2020. "Microplastic Study Reveals the Presence of Natural and Synthetic Fibres in the Diet of King Penguins (*Aptenodytes Patagonicus*) Foraging from South Georgia." *Environment International* 134 (January): 105303.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105303>.
- Lechner, Manfred D, Klaus Gehrke, Eckhard H Nordmeier, and U Guhr. 2003. *Makromolekulare Chemie*. Springer.
- LeFevre, ME, AM Boccio, and DD Joel. 1989. "Intestinal Uptake of Fluorescent Microspheres in Young and Aged Mice." *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 190 (1): 23–27.
- Lithner, Delilah, Åke Larsson, and Göran Dave. 2011. "Environmental and Health Hazard Ranking and Assessment of Plastic Polymers Based on Chemical Composition." *Science of The Total Environment* 409 (18): 3309–24.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.04.038>.
- Lokensgard, Erik. 2016. *Industrial Plastics: Theory and Applications*. Cengage Learning.
- Ma, Baiwen, Wenjing Xue, Chengzhi Hu, Huijuan Liu, Jiuwei Qu, and Liangliang Li. 2019. "Characteristics of Microplastic Removal via Coagulation and Ultrafiltration during Drinking Water Treatment." *Chemical Engineering Journal* 359 (March): 159–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.11.155>.
- Manikkam, Mohan, Rebecca Tracey, Carlos Guerrero-Bosagna, and Michael K. Skinner. 2013. "Plastics Derived Endocrine Disruptors (BPA, DEHP and DBP) Induce Epigenetic Transgenerational Inheritance of Obesity, Reproductive Disease and Sperm Epimutations." Edited by Toshi Shioda. *PLoS ONE* 8 (1): e55387. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055387>.
- McDonough, Kathleen, Nina Itrich, Kenneth Casteel, Jennifer Menzies, Tom Williams, Kady Krivos, and Jason Price. 2017. "Assessing the Biodegradability of Microparticles Disposed down the Drain." *Chemosphere* 175: 452–58.
- Mintenig, S.M., M.G.J. Löder, S. Primpke, and G. Gerdt. 2019. "Low Numbers of Microplastics Detected in Drinking Water from Ground Water Sources." *Science of The Total Environment* 648 (January): 631–35.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.178>.
- Murphy, Margaret. 2017. "Microplastics Expert Workshop Report."
- Nichols, Dean. 2005. *Biocides in Plastics*. Vol. 15. iSmithers Rapra Publishing.
- Novotna, Katerina, Lenka Cermakova, Lenka Pivokonska, Tomas Cajthaml, and Martin Pivokonsky. 2019. "Microplastics in Drinking Water Treatment – Current Knowledge and Research Needs." *Science of The Total Environment* 667 (June): 730–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.431>.
- OPC and NOAA. 2018. "California Ocean Litter Prevention Strategy: Addressing Marine Debris from Source to Sea."

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- Pivokonsky, Martin, Lenka Cermakova, Katerina Novotna, Petra Peer, Tomas Cajthaml, and Vaclav Janda. 2018. "Occurrence of Microplastics in Raw and Treated Drinking Water." *Science of The Total Environment* 643 (December): 1644–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.102>.
- REACH. 2006. "Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 Concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), Establishing a European Chemicals Agency, Amending Directive 1999/45/EC and Repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as Well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC." <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2006/1907/2018-03-01>.
- Reche, C, M Viana, X Querol, C Corcellas, D Barceló, and E Eljarrat. 2019. "Particle-Phase Concentrations and Sources of Legacy and Novel Flame Retardants in Outdoor and Indoor Environments across Spain." *Science of the Total Environment* 649: 1541–52.
- Rivas, Bernabé L, Bruno F Urbano, and Julio Sánchez. 2018. "Water-Soluble and Insoluble Polymers, Nanoparticles, Nanocomposites and Hybrids with Ability to Remove Hazardous Inorganic Pollutants in Water." *Frontiers in Chemistry* 6.
- Rochman, Chelsea M., Cole Brookson, Jacqueline Bikker, Natasha Djuric, Arielle Earn, Kennedy Bucci, Samantha Athey, et al. 2019. "Rethinking Microplastics as a Diverse Contaminant Suite." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 38 (4): 703–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4371>.
- Rodenburg, Lisa A., Jia Guo, Songyan Du, and Gregory J. Cavallo. 2010. "Evidence for Unique and Ubiquitous Environmental Sources of 3,3'-Dichlorobiphenyl (PCB 11)." *Environmental Science & Technology* 44 (8): 2816–21. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es901155h>.
- Rogovina, LZ, VG Vasil'ev, and EE Braudo. 2008. "Definition of the Concept of Polymer Gel." *Polymer Science Series C* 50 (1): 85–92.
- Rudén, Christina. 2004. "Acrylamide and Cancer Risk—Expert Risk Assessments and the Public Debate." *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 42 (3): 335–49.
- Scherer, Christian, Raoul Wolf, Johannes Völker, Friederike Stock, Nicole Brennhold, Georg Reifferscheid, and Martin Wagner. 2020. "Toxicity of Microplastics and Natural Particles in the Freshwater Dipteran Chironomus Riparius: Same Same but Different?" *Science of The Total Environment* 711 (April): 134604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134604>.
- Schür, Christoph, Sebastian Zipp, Tobias Thalau, and Martin Wagner. 2019. "Microplastics but Not Natural Particles Induce Multigenerational Effects in Daphnia Magna." *Environmental Pollution*, December, 113904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113904>.
- Scopetani, Costanza, Maranda Esterhuizen-Londt, David Chelazzi, Alessandra Cincinelli, Heikki Setälä, and Stephan Pflugmacher. 2020. "Self-Contamination from Clothing in Microplastics Research." *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 189 (February): 110036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.110036>.

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

- Shotyk, William, and Michael Krachler. 2007. "Contamination of Bottled Waters with Antimony Leaching from Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Increases upon Storage." *Environmental Science & Technology* 41: 1560–63.
- Skjevrak, Ingun, Anne Due, Karl Olav Gjerstad, and Hallgeir Herikstad. 2003. "Volatile Organic Components Migrating from Plastic Pipes (HDPE, PEX and PVC) into Drinking Water." *Water Research* 37 (8): 1912–20.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(02\)00576-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00576-6).
- State Water Board. 2016a. *Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California to Control Trash and Part 1 Trash Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California*.
- . 2016b. "Final Staff Report: Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Ocean Waters of California to Control Trash and Part 1 Trash Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California."
- . 2019. "Water Quality Control Plan Ocean Waters of California." State Water Board.
- Steffens, K-J. 1995. "Persorption—Criticism and Agreement as Based upon in Vitro and in Vivo Studies on Mammals." In *Absorption of Orally Administered Enzymes*, 9–21. Springer.
- The Open University (UK). 2000. *Design and Manufacture with Polymers: Introduction to Polymers*. Milton Keynes.
- United Nations. 2013. "Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), Fifth Revised Ed." New York and Geneva.
- U.S. EPA. 2003. *National Primary Drinking Water Standards - EPA 816-F-03-016*.
- Van, Almira, Chelsea M. Rochman, Elisa M. Flores, Kish L. Hill, Erica Vargas, Serena A. Vargas, and Euhna Hoh. 2012. "Persistent Organic Pollutants in Plastic Marine Debris Found on Beaches in San Diego, California." *Chemosphere* 86 (3): 258–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.09.039>.
- Volkheimer, Gerhard. 1975. "Hematogenous Dissemination of Ingested Polyvinyl Chloride Particles." *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 246: 164–71.
- World Health Organization. 2019. "Microplastics in Drinking-Water." Geneva.
<http://edepot.wur.nl/498693>.
- Wright, Stephanie L., and Frank J. Kelly. 2017. "Plastic and Human Health: A Micro Issue?" *Environmental Science & Technology* 51 (12): 6634–47.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00423>.
- Wu, Bing, Xiaomei Wu, Su Liu, Zhizhi Wang, and Ling Chen. 2019. "Size-Dependent Effects of Polystyrene Microplastics on Cytotoxicity and Efflux Pump Inhibition in Human Caco-2 Cells." *Chemosphere* 221 (April): 333–41.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.056>.
- Xiong, Boya, Rebeca Dettam Loss, Derrick Shields, Taylor Pawlik, Richard Hochreiter, Andrew L Zydney, and Manish Kumar. 2018. "Polyacrylamide Degradation and Its Implications in Environmental Systems." *NPJ Clean Water* 1 (1): 17.
- Zhang, Qun, Elvis Genbo Xu, Jiana Li, Qiqing Chen, Liping Ma, Eddy Y. Zeng, and Huahong Shi. 2020. "A Review of Microplastics in Table Salt, Drinking Water,

California State Water Resources Control Board
PROPOSED DEFINITION OF 'MICROPLASTICS IN DRINKING WATER'

and Air: Direct Human Exposure.” *Environmental Science & Technology*, March, acs.est.9b04535. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04535>.